Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Phytochemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/phytol



## Short communication

# Minor diterpenoids from Scutellaria galericulata



# Plamen N. Penchev<sup>a</sup>, Josep Coll<sup>b,1</sup>, Katia Nicolova<sup>c</sup>, Ilia N. Iliev<sup>c</sup>, Petko I. Bozov<sup>c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Analytical Chemistry, Plovdiv University, 24 Tzar Assen Str., 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

<sup>b</sup> Department of Biological Chemistry and Molecular Modeling, Institut de Química Avançada de Catalunya, CSIC, Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Micro-biology, Plovdiv University, 24 Tzar Assen Str., 4000 Plovdiv, Bulgaria

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 September 2015 Received in revised form 3 December 2015 Accepted 11 December 2015 Available online xxx

Keywords: Scutellaria galericulata Labiatae Neo-clerodane diterpenoids Scutegalerins C–E

### ABSTRACT

Three new neo-clerodane diterpenoids, one C-16 epimeric pair, scutegalerins C and D, and scutegalerin E, together with the known scutaltisin B, were isolated from the acetone extract of the aerial parts of *Scutellaria galericulata*. The chemical structures of the new compounds were elucidated by spectroscopic techniques and a comparison with data of similar compounds as  $(13S,16R,19S)-6\alpha$ -acetoxy- $2\alpha$ ,19;4 $\alpha$ ,18;15,16-triepoxy-16,19-dimethoxy-neo-clerodane (scutegalerin C),  $(13S,16S,19S)-6\alpha$ -acetoxy- $2\alpha$ ,19;4 $\alpha$ ,18;15,16-triepoxy-16,19-dimethoxy-neo-clerodane (scutegalerin D) and  $(11S,13R,16S,19S)-6\alpha$ -acetoxy- $2\alpha$ ,19;4 $\alpha$ ,18;11,16;15,16-tetraepoxy-19-methoxy-neo-clerodan- $3\beta$ -ol (scutegalerin E). Detailed NMR spectra of scutaltisin B were included to rationalize the new assignments in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum and the correction of some of the previously reported carbon signals in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum for the isolated mixture of the two C-11 epimers scutaltisin B and scutaltisin C.

© 2015 Phytochemical Society of Europe. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

In previous papers (Bozov et al., 2014a,b) we communicated the isolation from Scutellaria galericulata (Labiatae) of two new compounds: scutegalerins A and B, along with six known neoclerodane diterpenoids: 14,15-dihydrojodrellin T, scutegalin A, scutegalin D, scutalbin A, scutecolumnin C and neoajugapyrin A. In continuation of our studies on this species we report here on the isolation and structure elucidation of three new compounds: scutegalerins C(2), D(3) and E(4). Also was isolated the known 11R scutaltisin B (1) which had been obtained previously as an inseparable mixture with the 11S epimer, scutaltisin C from Scutellaria altissima (Bozov and Coll, 2015). In that work some of the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals could not be unequivocally assigned to either epimer since both were close to 1:1 ratio. In the present work 1 was isolated as a pure compound and a full assignment of all signals in the NMR spectra was made with the help of DEPT, HSQC, COSY and HMBC.

#### 2. Results and discussion

The bitter fraction obtained from the acetone extract of the aerial parts of *S. galericulata* was subjected to column

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2015.12.003

1874-3900/© 2015 Phytochemical Society of Europe. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

chromatography yielding five fractions (I–V, Section 3). The compounds reported in this paper (**1–4**) were received from fractions II and IV. Fraction II yielded two subfractions (IIa and IIb), each giving a TLC-homogeneous spot. Compound **1** was isolated from IIa subfraction, the epimeric mixture of **2** and **3** from IIb and **4** from IV.

The interpretation of all measured 1D and 2D NMR spectra of **1** pointed out that it was one of the components of the epimeric mixture scutaltisin B/scutaltisin C reported earlier (Bozov and Coll, 2015). Now, with all <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals (Table 1) unambiguously assigned to scutaltisin B, it is shown that in **1**, signals at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  33.45 and 33.30 are due to C-7 and C-12, respectively, and point out a wrong conclusion in our previous assignment of both  $\delta_{\rm C}$  33.38/33.55 to C-7. Also the corrected assignment for C-9 is at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  41.3 (42.47/41.67 reported previously).

HR-EIMS spectrum for the second TLC-homogeneous substance showed a molecular formula  $C_{24}H_{38}O_7$  which corresponded to the  $[M-1]^+$  ion with mass at m/z 437.2493. The peaks at m/z 407, 378 and 347 were due to the fragments  $[M-CH_3O]^+$ ,  $[M-CH_3COOH]^+$ and  $[M-CH_3COOH-CH_3O]^+$ , respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum revealed the presence of two structurally very similar clerodane diterpenes in a close to 1:2 ratio (in the following discussion the area under a proton signal is given in parenthesis so that it sums 1.0 for both) named as scutegalerin C and scutegalerin D (**2**,**3**). Characteristic signals for two 4 $\alpha$ ,18-epoxy-neo-clerodane skeletons were easily distinguished (Table 1) at  $\delta_H$  0.79s/0.80s (Me-20), 0.787d/0.791d (Me-17), 2.33d (1H, C-18a) and 2.92d (0.33H, C-18b)/2.90d (0.67H, C-18b). Whereas only one acetate signal is

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail* addresses: josepcolltoledano@gmail.com (J. Coll), bozov@uni-plovdiv.bg (P.I. Bozov).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Retired.

Table 1				
NMR spectroscopic	data	for	compounds	1-4. <sup>a</sup>

Position	1		2		3		<b>4</b> Neoajuga		ugapyrin A	
	$\delta_{c}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$	$\delta_{C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{C}$	$\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$	$\delta_{C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$	$\delta_{C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$
1a	28.7	1.53dd (14.2, 11.7)	26.9 <sup>e</sup>	1.47 <sup>d</sup>	26.9 <sup>e</sup>	1.47 <sup>d</sup>	22.9	1.83dd (14.0, 11.6)	22.6	1.90ddd, 14.6, 11.5, 0.9
1b		2.29dt <sup>b</sup> d (14.2, 4.8, 3.0)		1.75dm (13.2)		1.75dm (13.2)		2.25dddd (14.1, 5.0, 3.3,		2.30dddd, 14.7, 4.9, 4.0,
n	667	$4.07dt^{b}d(51.24.07)$	66.6	$4.116dt^{b}(4.4,2.2)$	66 5	$(4.100 dt^{b} (4.4.2.2))$	70.7	2.0)	71.0	2.1
2 3a	36.8	1.63dd (14.0, 2.7)	36.81	1.61 <sup>d</sup>	36.77	1.61 <sup>d</sup>	70.7	4.39brs $(w^{1}/_{2} = 5.9)$	70.1	4.40ddd, 5.1, 2.9, 1.9
3b		2.50dt <sup>b</sup> (14.1, 2.7)		2.503dt <sup>b</sup> (14.0,		2.507dt <sup>b</sup> (14.2, 2.6)				,,,,,
				2.6)						
4	60.7		60.7 <sup>e</sup>		60.7 <sup>e</sup>		66.1		65.9	
5	42.6	450dd(115,44)	42.5 <sup>-</sup>	1 58dd (12 2 1 0)	42.5°	456dd (115 46)	43.5 68.0 <sup>f</sup>	161dd (117 13)	42.5 68.2	163dd 110 17
0 7a	33.5	1.37dd (9.9, 4.5)	33.0	1.44 <sup>d</sup>	32.9	1.44 <sup>d</sup>	33.7 <sup>e</sup>	1.41dd (9.8, 4.6)	33.3	1.39ddd, 13.1, 4.6, 3.0
7b	55.5	1.62 <sup>d</sup>	5510	1.54 <sup>d</sup>	5210	1.54 <sup>d</sup>	5517	1.57 <sup>d</sup>	55.5	1.65 <sup>c</sup>
8	35.1	1.62 <sup>d</sup>	34.45	1.58 <sup>d</sup>	34.54	1.58 <sup>d</sup>	35.5	1.56 <sup>d</sup>	35.4	1.53dqd 12.8, 6.6, 3.1
9	41.3		38.84		38.77		41.2		41.2	
10	40.8	1.93°dd (11.4, 4.4)	41.0	1.70dd (11.2, 4.1)	41.1	1.65dd (11.3, 4.2)	40.8	1.89dd (11.7, 3.1)	40.7	1.98dd, 11.6, 3.9
11	33.3	4.0500 (11.0, 5.7) 1.61 <sup>d</sup>	30.2 21.8	1.21 (2H) 1.10 <sup>d</sup>	35.0 25.0	1.21 (2H) 0.075t <sup>b</sup> dd (12.6, 8.2)	80.5 32.6°	4.0800 (11.0, 5.0) 1.61ddd (12.6, 5.5, 1.3)	80.4 33.3	4.0900, 11.0, 5.7
120	55.5	1.01	21.0	1.10	23.5	4.5)	52.0	1.01000 (12.0, 5.5, 1.5)	55.5	1.05
12b		1.93 <sup>d</sup>		1.41 <sup>d</sup>		1.35 <sup>d</sup>		1.90ddd (12.6, 11.1, 9.3)		1.92 <sup>c</sup>
13	41.8	2.82t <sup>b</sup> ddd (9.2, 5.0, 3.7,	44.5	1.85m	45.9	1.92 <sup>d</sup>	41.80	2.83t <sup>b</sup> ddd (9.3, 5.1, 4.6,	41.8	2.84br t <sup>b</sup> ddd, 9.2, 5.1,
	22.6	1.6)	20.4	4 cod	20.0	4.404	22.26	1.4)	22.6	3.0, 1.2
14a 14b	32.6	$1.68ddt^{b}$ (12.6, 6.0, 4.3)	29.4	1.5/ <sup>d</sup>	30.6	$1.40^{\circ}$	33.2°	$1.68ddt^{b}$ (12.6, 6.2, 4.2)	32.6	$1./2^{\circ}$
140		2.15uut (12.8, 9.1, 8.4)		1.95		2.07ut u (16.5, 7.5, 40)		2.14uut (12.7, 9.2, 8.2)		2.15uut , 12.7, 9.2, 6.5
15a	68.2	ca 3.850ddd (8.2, 7.8,	66.5 <sup>e</sup>	3.79dt <sup>b</sup> (10.2, 8.2)	66.5 <sup>e</sup>	3.81d (8.2, 7.4)	68.3 <sup>f</sup>	3.857ddd (8.6, 8.0, 4.5)	68.3	3.8765ddd, 8.8, 8.7, 6.6
		4.5)								
15b		ca 3.856ddd (8.9, 7.8,		3.92ddd (9.3, 8.2,		3.88t <sup>b</sup> d (8.2, 4.1)		3.866ddd (8.6, 8.5, 6.3)		3.8617ddd, 8.7, 8.1, 4.5
16	100.2	6.2) 5.61d (5.1)	104.6	3.0) 4.72d (4.5)	100.9	4 E0d (17)	100 1	E 624 (E1)	100 1	E 624 E 1
10	108.2	5.610(5.1) 0.88d(6.1)	104.6	4.730 (4.5) 0.787d (6.5)	109.8	4.590 (1.7) 0.791d (6.5)	108.1	5.620(5.1)	108.1	5.630, 5.1 0.89d 6.1
17 18a	49.8	2.34d (4.4)	49.8	2.33d (4.4)	49.7	2.33d (4.4)	43.9	2.82d (4.6)	44.1	2.88d, 4.3
18b		2.92d (4.4)		2.915d (4.4)		2.897d (4.4)		3.01d (4.6)		3.09d, 4.3
19	100.2	5.08s	100.3	5.05s	100.2	5.04s	99.8	5.05s	91.0	6.76s
20	14.0	1.07s	16.81	0.787s	16.75	0.799s	14.3	1.11s	14.3	1.19s
$CH_3CO$	1/0.4	1.00c	1/0.3°	1.07c	1/0.3°	1.07c	1/0.4	2.00c	1/0.0	1706
$\frac{\mathbf{CH}_{3}\mathbf{CU}}{\mathbf{OCH}_{3}}$	21.2	1.335	21.1 54 5	3 335	21.1	1.575	21,2	2.005	21.0	1.755
16R			0 110	51555						
О <b>СН</b> з-					54.7	3.35s				
16S				o						
0CH <sub>3</sub> -	55.2	3.47s	55.2°	3.45s	55.2°	3.45s	55.3	3.47s		
19 1′ ( <b>C</b> O)									166.0	
$2' (\overline{\mathbf{C}} =)$									128.7	
3' ( <b>HC</b> =)									138.7	7.06qq, 7.1, 1.4
$4' (\mathbf{CH}_3)$									14.5	1.80dq, 7.1, 1.2
5′( <u>CH</u> <sub>3</sub> )									11.9	1.87 quint <sup>9</sup> , 1.3

<sup>a</sup> H NMR (<sup>1</sup>H 600.13 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (<sup>13</sup>C 150.9 MHz), recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> (solvent reference: <sup>1</sup>H  $\delta_{ref}$  7.26, <sup>13</sup>C  $\delta_{ref}$  77.0 ppm); chemical shift values  $\delta$  are in ppm, mult. *J* in Hz, all assignments in agreement with COSY and HSQC spectra.

<sup>b</sup> Apparent multiplicity (*t* = dd and quint. = dq with two close coupling constants).

<sup>c</sup> Weak correlation.

<sup>d</sup> Overlapped signal (data from HSQC or COSY).

<sup>e</sup> Interchangeable assignments within the same column or same value for **2** and **3**.

<sup>f</sup> Interchangeable assignments within the same column or same value for **2** and **3**.

present at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.97, two methoxy groups display singlet signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.451/3.450 (3.1H), 3.33 (1.08H) and 3.35(2.07H). The signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.45 were assigned to the methoxy groups at C-19 based on HMBC correlations. As C-16 is closer to the epimeric center, the two C-16 methoxyls display a larger chemical shift difference. The above discussion together with some other duplicate signals [ $\delta$  4.73/4.59 (d, H-16); 5.05/5.04 (s, H-19 $\alpha$ )], pointed out an identical decalin core with scupolins H and I for the mixture of **2** and **3** [H-2 $\beta$  ( $\delta$  4.12dt/4.11dt vs. 4.10m/4.08m), H-3 $\alpha$  ( $\delta$  2.50dt/2.51dt, vs. 2.53dt/2.54dt), H-6 $\beta$  ( $\delta$  4.58dd/4.56dd vs. 4.62dd /4.62dd), Me-17 ( $\delta$  0.787d/0.791d vs. 0.89d/0.90d), H<sub>2</sub>-18 ( $\delta$  2.33d and 2.92d/2.90d vs. 2.37d/2.35d and 2.97d/2.95d), H-19 $\alpha$  ( $\delta$  5.05s/5.04 sv. 5.11s/5.11s)] (de la Torre et al., 1997). The signals for H-19 $\alpha$  at  $\delta$  5.05/5.04 have overlapping <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>13</sup>C HMBC cross peaks with  $\delta_{\rm C}$  68.4/ 68.3 (C-6), 66.6/66.5 (C-2), 60.7 (C-4), 55.2 (C-19-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 49.8/49.7

(C-18, weak, <sup>4</sup>*J*), 36.81/36.77 (C-3, very weak, <sup>4</sup>*J*). The H-2 $\beta$  at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.12/4.11 shows HMBC cross peaks with  $\delta_{\rm C}$  100.3/100.2 (C-19), 60.7 (C-4) and 41.0/41.1 (C-10) and COSY correlations with  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.50/2.51 (H-3 $\alpha$ ) and 1.61 (H-3 $\beta$ ). The six side-chain atoms C-11–C-16 display a lactol ring across C-15–C-16 as reported for scuterepenosides A<sub>1</sub>–A<sub>4</sub> (Kizu et al., 1998). Weak HMBC correlations were observed in compound **2** of H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.85/1.92) with the carbon atoms C-16 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  104.6), C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  29.4), C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  21.8) and C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  36.2) and in diterpene **3** with C-16 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  109.8), C-15 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  66.5), C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  30.6), C-12 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  25.9) and C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  35.6). Chemical shifts for methylene protons 12a/12b in **2** appeared at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.10/1.41, while in **3** they were upfield shifted at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.98/1.35, whereas the signal of H<sub>2</sub>-11 isochronous protons appeared at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.21 in both based on COSY and HSQC. These assignments were supported by the HMBC correlations of H<sub>2</sub>-11 with C-9 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  38.84/38.77), and the <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H

COSY cross peaks with H<sub>2</sub>-12 at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.98/1.35 for **3**. In the HMBC spectrum correlations were observed from H-12a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.98) to C-16 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  104.6/109.8), C-13 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  44.5/45.9), C-11 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  36.2/35.6) and C-14 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  29.4/30.6). The <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum displays correlations of H-12a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.10/0.98) with H-13 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.85/1.92) and H<sub>2</sub>-11 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.21). It was remarkable the big deviation of 4.12 ppm in the value of the signal for C-12 in the two epimers but such deviation was in agreement with the values reported for scuterepenosides A<sub>1</sub>–A<sub>4</sub> (Kizu et al., 1998). H-13 $\beta$  in both epimers showed <sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlation with H-14a and H-16 $\beta$  (Fig. 1).

The two diterpenoids 2 and 3 are distinguished from one another by the configuration of C-16 asymmetric carbon. In the 16R epimer (**2**) this carbon appeared at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  104.6 and in the 16S epimer (3) at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  109.8 giving a chemical shift deviation of 5.2 ppm. That deviation is very similar to those displayed in scuterepenosides  $A_3/$  $A_1$  ( $\delta_{C-16}$  104.9/110.2) and scuterepenosides  $A_4/A_2$  ( $\delta_{C-16}$  105.0/ 110.3). H-16 $\beta$  from clerodane **2** resonated at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.73 (<sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm H-16\beta}$ , H- $_{13\beta}$  = 4.5 Hz) and the signal for H-16 $\beta$  from **3** is upfield shifted at 4.59 ( ${}^{3}J_{H-16\beta}$ ,  ${}_{H-13\beta}$  = 1.7 Hz). In accordance with the Karplus equation (Karplus, 1963) the higher value of  ${}^{3}J$  –coupling constant in **2** is conditioned by the dihedral torsion angle between H-16 $\beta$ -C-16–C-13–H-13 $\beta$  of about 0°. The smaller value of the <sup>3</sup>J–constant in 3 (1.7 Hz) is in agreement with an angle close to  $120^{\circ}$ . The constants measured for scuterepenosides A<sub>1</sub>-A<sub>4</sub> (4.0 Hz for 16R\* and average 1.5 Hz for 165<sup>\*</sup>) were close to ours. In both epimers H-16 displayed HMBC correlations to carbons C-15, C-14, C-13 and CH<sub>3</sub>-O-C-16 and <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY correlation with H-13β. Based on all spectral data for the constituents of the epimeric mixture, structures 2 and 3 were assigned.

The measured NOESY spectrum of the epimeric mixture supports the proposed stereochemistry. In the NOESY spectrum

both epimers show a cross peak between signals of OCH<sub>3</sub>-16 and H-16 thus confirming the position of this methoxy group. Also, for both epimers there are NOESY correlations between H-16 and H<sub>2</sub>-11 due to the free rotation along C-11–C-12 and C-12–C-13 bonds. Whereas the rotation along C-12–C-13 can bring near proton H-16 $\alpha$  to both H-12 atoms (as it is in **3**) but not H-16 $\beta$ –H-12 atoms (in **2**). Actually, there are two NOESY correlations  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.59– $\delta_{\rm H}$  0.98 (for compound **3**) and there are <u>no</u> NOESY correlations  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.73– $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.41 and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.73– $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.10 (for compound **2**). There are several more NOESY correlations in the decaline moiety which are the same as those previously reported for scutecyprin (Penchev et al., 2014).

Repeated preparative TLC of fraction IV yielded compound 4 with a molecular formula  $C_{23}H_{34}O_8$  after the  $[M-OCH_3]^+$  peak at m/2z 407.2102. The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of 4 (Table 1) showed characteristic signals for a neo-clerodane structure (Me-17 at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.88d, J = 6.0 Hz; Me-20 at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.11s) possessing one 4 $\alpha$ ,18-oxirane (H-18a at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.82d and H-18b at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.01d with a coupling of  $J_{\text{gem}}$  = 4.6 Hz), one 2 $\alpha$ ,19-acetal moiety ( $\delta_{\text{H-19}}\alpha$  5.05s;  $\delta_{\text{H-2}}\beta$ 3.89brdd;  $\delta_{C-19}$  99.8) and a C-11–C-16 hexahydrofurofuran sidechain [ $\delta_{H-11}$  4.08dd, J=11.0, 5.6;  $\delta_{H-13}$  2.83;  $\delta_{H2-15}$  ca. 3.857/ 3.866ddd;  $\delta_{\text{H-16}}$  5.62d, J=5.1;  $\delta_{\text{C-16}}$  108.1]. In addition, the NMR spectra revealed the presence of one acetate ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.0s, 3H;  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.4 and 21.2), one methoxy group ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.47s, 3H;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  55.2) and one hydroxyl at C-3 ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  70.1). The chemical shifts of H-19 $\alpha$  at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.05s and C-19 at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  99.8 are in agreement with structures **2** and **3** ( $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.04 and 5.05;  $\delta_{\rm C}$  100.3 and 100.2). These signals are similar to the reported values for 19-O-methyl ether derivatives such as scupolins H and I, both 5.11/100.3 (de la Torre et al., 1997). The attachment of the hydroxyl group at the  $3\beta$  position was in agreement with the chemical shifts of H-18a ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.82) as in



Formulae



rings.

Table 2			
C-1/C-3 subs	titution effects in neo-cl	lerodanes with $2\alpha$ .	19 and $4\alpha$ , 18 epoxy

Compound	Substitution		$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{C}$	$\delta_{C}$
	C-1	C-3	Η-1α	Η-3α	C(4)/C(18)	C-10
Scutegalerin E, <b>4</b>	H <sub>2</sub>	Н,ОН	2.25dddd	4.39m	66.1/43.9	40.8
Neoajugapyrin A	H <sub>2</sub>	H,OH	2.30dddd	4.40ddd	65.9/44.1	40.7
Scutecyprin <sup>a</sup>	H <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub>	2.36dtd	2.55brd	60.6/50.2	40.8
14,15-Dihydrojodrellin T <sup>b</sup>	H,OTig	H <sub>2</sub>	5.51m	2.48brd	59.6/50.2	48.3

<sup>a</sup> Data from Penchev et al. (2014).

<sup>b</sup> Data from Cole et al. (1990).

neoajugapyrin A (Bozov et al., 2014b), scupolin G (de la Torre et al., 1997) and in scupolins J and K (Bruno et al., 2000), all at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.88. The H-18a resonated at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.44 in scutecyprin which is devoid of substituents at C-1 and C-3. In diterpenes with C-1 substitution, the signal for H-18a appeared in the region close to that of scutecyprin as  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.46 in 14,15-dihydrojodrellin T and  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.51 in scutegalerin A. The signal of the  $3\alpha$ -proton, which appeared in scutecyprin at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.55dt, is downfield shifted in **4** to  $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.39brs. In the <sup>13</sup>C NMR of **4** the signal for carbon C-4 was downfield shifted with 5.5 ppm (see Table 2), as compared to that in scutecyprin, while the signal for C-18 (that is at  $\gamma$  position to hydroxyl) was upfield shifted with 6.3 ppm. The same changes were observed for the C-3 substituted derivatives of scutecyprin as scupolins G (de la Torre et al., 1997), J and K (Bruno et al., 2000) and neoajugapyrin A (Table 2). Derivatives with C-1 substitution, as in 14,15-dihydrojodrellin T, showed significant downfield shifting (as compared to scutecyprin) for carbon C-10 by about 7.5 ppm (Table 2). Finally, the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of scutegalerin E were almost identical with those of neoajugapyrin A (Table 1). The remarkable differences between the spectra of both compounds were the presence of signals for methoxy group at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.47 and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  55.3 instead those of tiglate, as well as the H-19 chemical shift at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.05s vs. 6.76s  $(\Delta\delta - 1.71)$  and the downfield shifted signal of C-19 acetalic carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  99.8 vs. 91.0 ( $\Delta\delta$  + 8.8).

#### 3. Experimental

#### 3.1. Structural data

The <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>CNMR spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a Bruker Avance at 600.130 MHz and 150.903 MHz. The chemical shift values for both <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C are reported as parts per million (ppm), and coupling constants ( $J_{H,H}$ ) are in Hertz (in parentheses). The IR spectra were registered in KBr pellet for solids and as a capillary film between KBr plates for oily substances on a PerkinElmer 1750 FT-IR spectrometer from 4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 450 cm<sup>-1</sup> at resolution 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> with 9 scans. The mass spectra were measured on Hewlett Packard 6890 GC System Plus/5973 MSD. Silica gel 60 H (Merck N. 7734) was used for column chromatography (CC), silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> aluminum sheets (Merck N. 5554) were used for TLC monitoring (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH 98:2; and EtOAc) and 2 mm plates PSC-Fertigplatten Kieselgel 60 (Merck N. 5745) for preparative TLC.

#### 3.2. Plant material

The plant material of *S. galericulata* L. was collected in July 2014 near Pleven, Bulgaria and voucher specimens (N. 11927) were deposited in the Herbarium of the Higher Institute of Agriculture at Plovdiv, Bulgaria.

#### 3.3. Extraction and isolation

The dried and finely powdered stems (5.2 kg) were extracted with  $Me_2CO(2 \times 15 L)$  at room temperature for a week. The acetone

extract was concentrated under reduced pressure and low temperature (40 °C). After removal of the solvent, the residue (225 g) was dissolved in 1L aq. Me<sub>2</sub>CO  $(40\% H_2O, v/v)$  and the solution was cooled to 4°C for 24h and filtered. The filtrate was extracted with  $CHCl_3$  (3 × 300 mL). The combined extracts were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and 40 °C yielding a residue (17.9 g, bitter fraction) which was separated into five fractions, I (150 mg), II (450 mg), III (140 mg), IV (83 mg) and V (250 mg), on a silica gel column (350 g; deactivated with 10% H<sub>2</sub>O, w/w) eluting with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-MeOH mixtures from 10:0 to 9.9:0.1. After a rechromatography of fraction II eluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  36 mg of scutaltisin B (1) and 15.3 mg from a 1:2 inseparable (by TLC using different solvents and mixtures of solvents or by crystallization) mixture of the C-16 epimers, scutegalerin C(2) and scutegalerin D(3) were isolated. Preparative TLC of fraction IV (EtOAc as eluent,  $\times 2$ ) yielded 8 mg of the third new diterpene scutegalerin E (4). Recrystallization from acetone supplied 6 mg of pure 4.

Scutaltisin B (**1**). White powder from  $(CH_3)_2CO$ , mp 140–144 °C. TLC:  $R_f 0.75$  (EtOAc). IR  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2981, 2958, 2941, 2875, 1730, 1489, 1370, 1329, 1250, 1188, 1102, 1087, 983, 973, 949, 826, 624. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: see Table 1/1S. EIMS (70 eV, direct inlet) m/z (rel. int.): 421 [M-1]<sup>+</sup> (2), 407 [M-CH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (4), 391 [M-OCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (6), 363 [M-OOCCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (3), 232 (5), 218 (9), 190 (27), 172 (20), 166 (8), 159 (7), 113 (100), 69 (29). HR-EIMS m/z 421.3152 [M-1]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>33</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 421.2217).

Scutegalerins C + D (**2**+**3**). Colorless resin. TLC:  $R_f 0.70$  (EtOAc). IR  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2828, 1732, 1655, 1610, 1491, 1468, 1451, 1372, 1250, 1189, 1164, 1102, 1070, 1052, 1024, 978, 929, 906, 829. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: see Table 1/2S. HR-EIMS (70 eV, direct inlet) m/z (rel. int.): 437 [M-1]<sup>+</sup> (5), 407 [M-OCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (75), 378 [M - HOOCCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (8), 347 [M-HOOCCH<sub>3</sub>-OCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (13), 303 (12), 286 (99), 274 (43), 256 (19), 245 (13), 217 (28), 187 (91), 173 (75), 159 (46), 134 (31), 99 (16), 69 (6); HR-EIMS m/z 437.2493 [M-1]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>37</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 437.2529).

Scutegalerin E (**4**). White powder from  $(CH_3)_2CO$ , mp 174–177 °C; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3520, 3454, 2966, 2932, 1747, 1715, 1452, 1374, 1277, 1251, 1229, 1189, 1163, 1086, 1058, 1028, 1007, 964, 935, 919, 833, 788, 738, 629, 531. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR: see Table 1/3S. EIMS (70 eV, direct inlet) *m/z* (rel. int.): 407 [M-OCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (11), 379 [M-OOCCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (8), 279 (6), 234 (10), 206 (12), 188 (42), 167 (12), 159 (13), 149 (14), 113 (100), 69 (16); HR-EIMS *m/z* 407.2102 [M-OCH<sub>3</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>31</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 407.2061).

#### Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by the Bulgarian National Science Fund, Contract DDWU02/37.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. phytol.2015.12.003.

#### References

- Bozov, P.I., Penchev, P.N., Vasileva, T., Iliev, I.N., 2014a. Diterpenoids from *Scutellaria* galericulata. Chem. Nat. Comp. 49, 479–480.
- Bozov, P.I., Penchev, P.N., Coll, J., 2014b. Neo-clerodane diterpenoids from *Scutellaria* galericulata. Nat. Prod. Commun. 9, 347–350.
- Bozov, P.I., Coll, J., 2015. Neo-clerodane diterpenoids from *Scutellaria altissima*. Nat. Prod. Commun 10, 13–16.
- Bruno, M., Bondì, M.L., Rosselli, S., Piozzi, F., Servettaz, O., 2000. Minor diterpenoids from *Scutellaria polyodon*. J. Nat. Prod. 63, 1032–1034.
- Cole, M.D., Anderson, J.C., Blaney, W.M., Fellows, L.E., Ley, S.V., Sheppard, R.N., Simmonds, M.S.J., 1990. Neo-clerodane insect antifeedants from *Scutellaria* galericulata. Phytochemistry 29, 1793–1796.
- de la Torre, M.C., Rodríguez, B., Bruno, M., Vassallo, N., Bondì, M.L., Piozzi, F., Servettaz, O., 1997. Neoclerodane diterpenoids from *Scutellaria polyodon*. J. Nat. Prod. 60, 1229–1235.
- Kizu, H., Sugita, N., Tomimori, T., 1998. Studies on Nepalesecrude drugs. XXIV. Diterpenoid constituents of the leaves of *Scutellaria repens* Buch-Ham. ex D. Don. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 46, 988–1000.
- Karplus, M., 1963. Vicinal proton coupling in nuclear magnetic resonance. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 2870–2871.
- Penchev, P.N., Nachkova, S.R., Vasileva, T.A., Bozov, P.I., 2014. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis of neo-clerodane diterpenoid scutecyprin <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analysis of neo-clerodane diterpenoid scutecyprin. Nat. Prod. Commun. 9, 1065–1068.