# ECOLOGIA BALKANICA

2019, Vol. 11, Issue 2

December 2019

# Pedo-chemical Perturbations in Soils from Green Ecosystems of the Sofia City (Bulgaria)

Venera T. Tsolova, Plamen V. Tomov<sup>\*</sup>, Ivona P. Nikova, Galina P. Petkova

"N. Pushkarov" Institute of Soil Science, Agrotechnologies and Plant Protection 7 Shosse Bankya, 1331 Sofia, BULGARIA \*Corresponding author: ptomoff@gmail.com

Abstract. In view of the role of cities for ensuring a favorable living environment, it is important to study the urban soils since they are formed and developed under the impact of different by degree and type anthropogenic perturbations. Pedo-chemical studies of urban soils may capture the evolution of different soil components and reveal the different stages of soil matrix transformation. Using pedogenic and chemical analyses, the present article aims to present the trends of perturbations of the mineral and organic matrix of urban soils located along the direction of increasing gradient of urbanization in cursory investigated soil zones belonging to the residential and industrial districts of the Sofia city (Bulgaria). The results obtained show that anthropogenic alterations are predominantly associated with morphological reorganization of some soils rather than soil compaction and structure loss. The increase of exchangeable hydrogen content provoked by fulvic acid production and leaching can be attributed to the current natural perturbations. Anthropogenically induced chemical changes could be linked with increase of the mineral N flux and high ammonium content which will influence the existing acid-base status of Sofian soils.

**Key words**: urban soils; exchange capacity; aqua regia; humic acids specification; nitrogen fluxes.

### Introduction

Globalization processes that started in beginning of 20th century the the transformed cities in a unique assemblage of natural, ethnic, aesthetic, production, commercial, social and tourist symbols but also contributed to the increase of chemical vulnerability soils. The enormous of gathering of population in the cities

© Ecologia Balkanica http://eb.bio.uni-plovdiv.bg influences all the environmental components and substantially changes the soil cover. Usually, urban soils significantly differ in properties and organization from natural soils and should be properly managed (LEHMANN & STAHR, 2007). Specific features of urban soils are related to the variation of soil acidity and sorption capacity, enrichment with organic matter mostly in the form of

> Union of Scientists in Bulgaria – Plovdiv University of Plovdiv Publishing House

non-hydrolysed carbon, low water holding capacity, strong compaction and heavy metals contamination (DOICHINOVA, 2006; DOICHINOVA & ZHYANSKI, 2013).

Metrics such as pH and cation exchange capacity are the most preferable and accessible indicators for initial assessment of chemical status of soils (THOMAS, 1996; DINEV, 2011; NIKOVA, 2009). It is a wellknown fact that the interpretation of data on physico-chemical processes running in the soil adsorbent is a key tool for the sustainable management and protection of soils (HENGLEIN, 1993). Organic matter accumulation and transformation as prime soil-forming processes in the pedosphere are also widely discussed (SARDANS et al., 2012; CHENU et al., 2015; BŁONSKA & LASOTA, 2017; PIERSON, 2017; FROUZ & VINDUŠKOVÁ, 2018; ABDELRAHMAN et al., 2018; FILCHEVA, 2018; STUMPF et al., 2018). The issue of global warming forces the studies, which increase the knowledge of domains, resistance and cycling of chemical elements in and out of ecosystems (FINZI et al., 2011; DELGADO-BAQUERIZO et al., 2013; TSOLOVA et al., 2014; PARTON et al., 2015; YUAN & CHEN, 2015; TAN & WANG, 2016; JIAO et al., 2016).

The scarce data on urban soils in Bulgaria has aroused the interest in studying their pedochemical characteristics as information carriers on modern and relict of formation processes soil and transformation. By studying the cation exchange capacity, base saturation level, content of main exchangeable cations, content and forms of essential nutrients including organic carbon, the present article aimed to present the trends of transformation of mineral and organic matrix of urban soils located along the direction of increasing of gradient urbanization in cursory investigated soil zones belonging to the residential and industrial districts of the Sofia city (Bulgaria).

#### **Materials and Methods**

Location and morphogenesis of studied soils Data on 6 soil types, located at the Eastern part of the Sofia city are represented in present publication. All soils form ecosystems with recreational significance and some of them have not been previously studied. They are distinguished for the following morphogenesis:

Anthropogenically overlapped moderately leached Smolnitsa, loamic is characterized by profile 1 located in "Mladost" residential region (Fig. 1). This soil was formed as a result of urbanization and occupies previously unexplored soil zone. In fact, moderately leached Smolnitsa borders this highly urbanized zone according to the previous studies (ACHKOV et al. 1972). The original soil, Smolnitsa (named after organic clays, smolnitsas composing soil) is overlapped by layers of earth calcaric masses, mixed with urban gravel. waste, pebbles and Profile development morphological and organization of new soil includes differentiation of organic matter, which resulted in a bimodal distribution and 3 representative horizons for soil morphogenesis:  $A_{hk}$  (0-15 cm) -  $C_{1k}$  (15-65 cm) - A<sub>b</sub> (65-110 cm).

WRB classification of soil: Urbic Technosol (Eutric, Loamic, Humic, Transportic) over Pellic Vertisol (Chernic, Endocalcaric). Profile 2 characterizes Technogenic soil, moderately deep, loamic, moderately stony (15% coarse surface fragments' content in A<sub>h</sub> horizon, Fig. 2), classified as Urbic Technosol (Amphyskeletic, Calcaric, Mollic, Transportic). This soil is formed by massive pilling of earth calcaric materials onto the moderately leached Smolnitsa, loamic during the "Mladost" district construction. Profile development and morphological organization are results of surface accumulation of organic matter and slow weathering of subsoil that is strongly mixed with urban building artefacts - these processes lead to the formation of a three-layered profile:  $A_{hk}$  (0-21 cm) -  $C_{1k}$  (21-52 cm) - C<sub>2k</sub> (52-85 cm). Parent materials are Quaternary brown alluvial clays and Pliocene sands, usually calcaric (YANEV et

al., 1992; 1995; BOJINOVA-HAAPANEN, 2014). Profile 3 illustrates the morphogenesis in moderately leached Cinnamon forest soil, slightly moderately loamic, to eroded / Chromic Endocalcic Luvisol (Clayic, Differentic, Humic, Profondic)/ located in the periphery of the "Mladost" residential region (Fig. 3). Profile development is a pedogenetic differentiation result of (illuviation) of clay content by depth and leaching of base cations. Morphologically, these processes form the following horizons:  $A_h$  (0-10 cm) -  $B_t$  (10-35 cm) -  $B_{t2}$  (35-72 cm) - $B_{t\kappa}$  (72-86 cm) -  $C_k$  (86-120 cm). The soilforming materials according to YANEV et al., and BOJINOVA-HAAPANEN, (1992; 1995) (2014) are Quaternary diluvial-colluvial materials (non-sorted gravel, boulders and clay-sandy deposits) and Pliocene sediments (yellow-rusty clays with layered structure, usually calcaric, clays with sandy matrix and gravel). Profile 4. Alluvial soil, moderately deep, slightly stony /Hypereutric Fluvisol *Somerimollic*)/ distributed (Loamic, in "Drujba" industrial region (fig 4). This soil is located in an over flooded terrace of the Iskar River, in a virgin district, next to the "Sofia Iztok" Thermal-electric Power Plant. Profile development is limited by coarse fragments abundance in subsoil and therefore the soil formation processes involve only the uppermost 15 cm. They resulted in morphologically simple profile organization:  $A_h$  (0-15 cm) –  $C_1$  (15-40 cm) – C<sub>2</sub> (40-80 cm). Parent materials are mostly large gravels and boulders with a sandy matrix which lie onto a Pliocene stratum composed of sands and grey or green coloured clays (YANEV et al., 1992; 1995; BOJINOVA-HAAPANEN, 2014). Fig. 5 shows profile 5 and Alluvial meadow soil, deep */Hypereutric* Fluvisol (Epiclayic, Endoloamic, Pachic)/ located in "Drujba" residential region. It is formed within the flooded terrace of the Iskar River by fineparticle alluvial sediments of a Quaternary and Pliocene origin (ACHKOV et al., 1972). Profile development and morphological organization is also marked by surface accumulation of organic matter and lithological clay differentiation by depth: A<sub>h</sub> (0-30 cm) - A<sub>2</sub> (30-55 cm) - C<sub>1</sub> (55-105 cm) - $C_2$  (105-155 cm).Profile 6 is in strongly leached Smolnitsa, super deep, moderately clayey / Pellic Vertisol (Pantochernic, *Hupereutric, Relictigleyic)/* distributed in "Mladost" residential region (fig 6). This soil occupies the higher part of the previously unexplored soil zone and neighbours the moderately leached Smolnitsa. This pedon also consists of organic clays (Smolnitsa), which foster the super deep A-horizon development (reaching up to 165 cm depth). Humus horizon directly lies on parent materials - grey-brown Pliocene clays containing calcareous nuts (YANEV et al., 1992; 1995; BOJINOVA-HAAPANEN, 2014).

#### Chemical studies

# The cation exchange capacity

The cation exchange capacity (Equation 1), the base saturation level and the content of the main exchangeable cations in soils were determined under the GANEV & ARSOVA (1980) method. This method determines the contribution of both the permanent, preferential charges (on basal surfaces,  $T_{CA}$ ) and variation charges of soil colloids (basically pH dependent exchange including the lateral surfaces,  $T_A$ ) to the cation exchange capacity by titration of soil extracts (obtained by mixed solution of 1.0 n sodium acetate and 0.2 n potassium maleate having pH 8.25) with 0.04 n sodium hydroxide solution in the presence of determine T<sub>A</sub> phenolphthalein to and subsequent titration of the above eluate with 0.04 n complexon III (after dilution up to 200 cm<sup>3</sup> with deionized water and addition of 10 cm<sup>3</sup> of triethanolamine and 2 cm<sup>3</sup> of 5.0 n potassium hydroxide solution, non-carbonate to achieve pH 12-13) in the presence of chromium-blue to determine  $T_{CA}$  (Equation 1):

$$T_{8.2} = T_{CA} + T_A (cmol/kg)$$
(1)

Exchangeable Al: in 1.0 n calcium chloride filtrate obtained as a soil:extractant ratio 1:25 by titration with 0.04 n sodium

hydroxide in the presence of phenolphthalein. When soil pH <4.0, exchangeable hydrogen ions,  $H_A$ , should be determined first - 1 drop of methyl orange is added to the calcium chloride filtrate and titrated with 0,04 n sodium hydroxide and then this solution is treated to determine the exchange aluminium.

Total acidity (exchange  $H_{8.2}$ ) is calculated by the Equation 2:

$$exch.Al + H_A = exch.H_{8.2} (cmol/kg)$$
 (2)

Exchangeable calcium: 50 cm<sup>3</sup> of the mixed sodium-acetate and potassium-maleate solution is diluted with deionized water to 100 cm<sup>3</sup>. Then 5 cm<sup>3</sup> of triethanolamine (1: 1), 1 cm<sup>3</sup> 5.0 n potassium hydroxide solution and a chromium blue (calcon) are added to achieve intensive purple-red colouring. The solution is titrated slowly with 0.01 n complexon III to a deep blue colour.

Sum of exchangeable calcium and magnesium: A new 50 cm<sup>3</sup> of the filtrate is filled up with deionized water to about 100 cm<sup>3</sup>. Five cm<sup>3</sup> of triethanolamine (1: 1) and a solid mixture of eriochrome black are added to reach pH of 9.5-10.0 and titrated slowly with 0.01 n complexon III to a deep blue colour. Exchange magnesium is determined by the difference between the sum of two alkaline earth cations and exchange calcium.

The base saturation level (V) is calculated in percentages as the difference between the magnitude of total cation exchange capacity ( $T_{8.2}$ ) and total acidity (exchange  $H_{8.2}$ ) relative to the magnitude of total cation exchange capacity.

#### Humic substances content and composition

The content of extractable humus fractions was determined using the Kononova-Belchikova method (FILCHEVA & TSADILAS, 2002) in four extracts at soil: solution ratio 1:20. Total organic carbon was determined by the modified dichromate oxidation method (the oxidation of the soil sample with  $0.4 \text{ N K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ and concentrated  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  in a ratio 1:1 at 120  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 45 min. in the presence of  $\text{Ag}_2\text{SO}_4$  followed by a titration with 0.2 N Mohr's salt). Humus content is calculated by multiplication of organic carbon content with the coefficient 1.724.

Content of humic (HA) and fulvic (FA) acids – in a mixed solution of 0,1 M  $Na_4P_2O_7$  and 0,1 M NaOH, and separation of FA by 0,5 M  $H_2SO_4$  as an acidifying agent.

Content of free or linked to sesquioxides humic and fulvic acids representing the potentially mobile HA and FA – extracted with 0,1 M NaOH.

Content of the low molecular (aggressive) fraction of fulvic acids – in extracts with 0,05 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.

Optical hallmarks  $(E_4/E_6)$  are determined in HA-fraction as a ratio of the optical densities at 465 and 665 nm.

#### Elemental and speciation assays

Content of ferromagnesian trace elements was determined after sample mineralization with aqua regia (ISO 11466:1995) via AAC (ISO 11047:1998) on a Perkin-Elmer 2100.

Total nitrogen content was quantified by the modified Kjeldahl method (BDS ISO 11261:2002) and the main mineral nitrogen forms – by procedure of BREMNER & KEENEY (1965).

Carbonate content was measured following ISO 10693:1995 protocol which reproduces the Scheibler method.

## Sample pre-treatment and pH determination

Soil samples were pre-treated according to BDS ISO method (11464:2012) and pH was measured in 2.5:1 water soil suspension (1 part soil and 2.5 parts deionized water) according to the protocol given by GANEV & ARSOVA (1980).



Fig. 1. View and location of profile 1 in overlapped moderately leached Smolnitsa.



Fig. 2. View and location of profile 2 in Technogenic soil.



Fig. 3. View and location of profile 3 in moderately leached Cinnamon forest soil.

Pedo-chemical perturbations in soils from green ecosystems of the Sofia city (Bulgaria)



Fig. 4. View and location of profile 4 in Alluvial soil.



Fig. 5. Location and view of profile 5 in Alluvial meadow soil.



Fig. 6. Location and view of profile 6 in strongly leached Smolnitsa.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Soils created as a result of urbanization (profiles 1 and 2) are characterized with very slightly alkaline reaction (Table 1) and middle content of carbonates (in the interval 3-5%). They have middle colloidal activity  $(T_{82} \text{ from 30 to 45 cmol/kg})$ , according to the classification given by GANEV (1990) and sorption interactions, transforming the slightly acidic positions of mineral colloids into the hydrogen-acidic complex. Due to the presence of carbonates, this acidic-hydrogen form of T<sub>A</sub>, i.e., exchangeable retention of hydrogen cations upon the slightly acidic positions of mineral colloids can be considered physico-chemical characteristic of soils. which originated from the hydromorphic stage in their genesis and water self-ionization catalytic effect. Signs of hydromorphism are evident in many soil characteristics (clay mineralogy, organic carbon state and transformation) and leave a mark on their current genesis. Concerning clay mineralogy, the predominance of mixed-layered smectite-vermiculite structures was found in Pliocene clays distributed in the "Mladost" residential district (BOJINOVA-HAAPANEN, 2014) which confirm our data and suggestions. This mineral might be indirectly identified through the typically high total magnesium content (or "pseudo" total content determined in the aqua regia extract, Table 1). The sorption capacity which is not very high also suggests a lack of pure smectites and together with transformation of biotite Smolnitsas) (that is present in into vermiculite could explain CEC values. Magnesium, despite of its high content, is not the main exchangeable cation and does not cause magnesium salinization.

Hydromorphism and further transformation of the mineral matrix turn hydrogen in the second abundant exchange ion after calcium. As a result of high hydrolytic acidity (exch.  $H_{8,2}$ ) the base saturation level is under 93%, which is considered a critical minimum for lack of deleterious acidity in soils (PALAVEEV &

TOTEV, 1985; TRENDAFILOV, 1992). The deleterious acidity in soils is not accompanied bv toxic aluminium availability (exch. Al) and possibility for Al desorption in the soil solution - it is limited only to destabilizing role and chemical activity of exchangeable hydrogen.

The content of hydrolytic acidity in (profile 2) sharply Technogenic soils decreases under 21 cm depth (over 2 times) and that positively influences subsoil base saturation status (V). Although the sorption potential in surface horizon of Technosol is generally lower, its hydrolytic acidity content is close to that in topsoil of the overlapped Smolnitsa. Obviously, the hydrolytic acidity in topsoil of these newlyformed soils originated from biogenic processes associated with well-developed meadow vegetation (TSOLOVA & TOMOV, 2018) rather than clay mineralogy, because this is the only profile wherein the vermiculite is not occurred (as we mentioned above the higher content of magnesium than calcium is indicative for vermiculite presence).

The carbonates in the A<sub>h</sub> horizon of moderately leached Cinnamon forest soils (profile 3) take part in neutralization of acid products generated by biodegradable processes. This gradually leads to their depletion and acidification of soil environment to pH 5.9. The strong linear correlations shown on fig 7 reveal the prevalence of exchange hydrogen cations onto slightly acidic positions and pH dependence on the exchange hydrogen content.

The exchangeable acidity (exch. Al) also occurs in topsoil in a concentration that could be toxic for many pasture species (CORANGAMITE REGION "BROWN BOOK"). It usually appears as a result of acid destruction of clay minerals, which can be seen in the ratios:  $T_{8,2}$  in  $A_h/T_{8,2}$  in  $C_k < 1$ and  $T_{8,2}$  in  $B_{t\kappa}/T_{8,2}$  in  $C_k > 1$  (GANEV, 1990). The colloid degradation in  $A_h$  is moderate according to the classification given by GANEV (1990) and shows that this process is still running slowly. The moderately leached Cinnamon forest soil also has moderately high sorption capacity but smaller buffer potential which, as it was mentioned above, decreases as a result of increasing acidity in surface horizons.

Alluvial soils from the Iskar river valley (profiles 4 and 5) are formed of sediments with different coarse fragments contents. The stonier soils (profile 4) are moderately colloidal ( $T_{8,2}$  from 20 to 30 cmol/kg) with high neutralizing potential (V over 80 cmol/ kg). Their acidic systems also saturate the variation charges on colloidal surfaces with hydrogen and evoke slightly acidic reaction (according to ATANASOV et al., 2009 classification) - 6.1-6.9. Studied parameters decrease downwards the profile depth, the distribution resembling in some normally developed, genetically old soils and do not follow the lithological differences between separate horizons.

Alluvial-meadow soils (profile 5) are moderately colloidal ( $T_{8,2}$  from 30 to 45 cmol/kg) and mostly moderately acidic (pH 5,1-6,0). They have the highest hydrolytic acidity among studied soils and respectively the lowest base saturation level within the whole depth (Table 1). The base saturation level in the interval 77-86% defines a middle range of deleterious acidification of soils according to the classification scheme set by Bulgarian legislation (ORDINANCE Nº 4).

The features of strongly leached Smolnitsa (profile 6) reveals the evolution of soils distributed in the peripheral part of this previously unexplored soil zone. They are neutral, highly colloidal soils with high neutralizing potential which is slightly lower in A' and A'' as a result of the listed hypergenic processes. These soils are distinguished with small amount of slightly acidic charges  $(T_A)$  in the humus horizon which is presumably due to the slow in situ transformation of biotite into vermiculite, which suggests a lack of defects in the crystal structures (due to the lack of transportation) and a small formation of lateral surfaces yet. These processes can be

more clearly observed in the last sub-horizon where the content of slightly acidic positions smallest and the content of is the exchangeable magnesium - the highest (Table 1). The content of "pseudo-total" magnesium (from 565 to 607,5 mg/kg) is higher than the content of calcium (420-560 mg/kg) within the whole profile depth which evidences for the strong leaching of carbonates probably in the form of iron carbonates due to the low content of "pseudo-total" iron too (from 1,06 to 1,30%). These data support the opinion of STRANSKI (1936) that a hidden process of podsolization takes place in the black Sofian soils, since it can't be diagnosed by usual morphological features. This phenomenon is also observed by NIKOVA & TSOLOVA (2018) in arable Smolnitsas from the Sofia valley.

# Organic matrix hallmarks

Surface horizon of the Overlapped (Buried) Smolnitsa (profile 1, Table 1) is very rich in organic matter (5.52% humus), despite of soil recent creation (about 45 vears ago). The humus is of Mull type, abundant in humic acids ( $C_{HA}/C_{FA} > 2,0$ ) which dominated along the entire depth. Humic acids are strongly condensed  $(E_4/E_6)$ = 3.87), very hydrophobic and slightly mobile polymers. They are strongly bound to the mineral matrix having in mind the dominance of Ca-humates (100%). The low molecular (aggressive) fraction of fulvic acids is also present in descending concentrations (from 0,8 in topsoil to 0,4 g/ kg in buried horizon). The ratios of C:N (14.04-10.40) in this epipedon indicate middle to high enrichment of hydrocarbons with (Fig. 8). These N-dressed Ν compounds are active source of ammonium-N and may provoke the soil toxicity (BRITTO & KRONZUCKER, 2002). Values obtained for main mineral forms of N illustrate this trend (fig 8) considering a principally low content of nitrate-N in soils.

The basic features of organic matter (OM) in surface horizon of Technogenic soils (profile 2) are: morphologically homogeneous humus system of well humificated organic matter (C<sub>HA</sub>: C<sub>total</sub> x 100, in % = 17.3%) formed by soil-biomes interactions and medium humus content (2.59%); Rhizomull type of humus wherein the very strongly condensed and stable humic acids ( $C_4/E_6 = 3,50$ ) are absolutely prevalent ( $C_{HA}/C_{FA}$  = 3,25). The content of organic carbon (OC) sharply drops (up to 1.8 g/kg) in subsoil, where potentially mobile OM is only composed of FA (up to 33% of total C). The degree of OC enrichment with N is very low especially in topsoil (C:N 21.74) and could be primary attached to the features of newly formed organic matter originated from cereal plant species which are dominant in this ecosystem (TSOLOVA & TOMOV, 2018), soil biota activity and low atmospheric inputs of N.

The humus-accumulative horizon  $(A_h)$ of Cinnamon forest soil (profile 3, Table 1) is altered by erosion and this affected carbon stocks - it is moderately rich in organic matter (2.86% humus) likewise Technogenic and Alluvial soils. The prevalence of humic acids is slightly pronounced there  $(C_{HA}/C_{FA})$ = 1.17) and the degree of condensation of their aromatic nuclei is lower ( $E_4/E_6 = 4.08$ ), although this does not change HA hydrophobicity and structure. FA content sharply drops beneath 35 cm and positively influenced the OM humification rate. The increase content of humus acids fractions evokes the naturally occurring leaching process and acidification of pH (5.9). The interaction between pH and potentially mobile fractions of humus acids, respectively fulvic acids is evidenced by the statistically significant correlation between them  $(R^2 =$ 0.73 for both fractions and  $R^2 = 0.85$  for fulvic acids).

C:N ratios (10.44-12.29) in this epipedon indicates high degree of organic matter enrichment with nitrogen and respectively similar rate of release of  $NH_4$ -N.

The status of organic matter in the next three profiles (N $\circ$ N $\circ$  4, 5 and 6) differentiates from described above. All of them are characterized with almost equal amount of

HA and FA or lack of HA (like in Alluvial soils - profiles 4 and 5). Fulvic acids are mainly mobile and partially aggressive having in mind the aggressive fractions contents (up to 25% of the total FA fraction). HA are stable, strongly condensed polymers mostly bound to Ca. Organic matter is highly abundant in nitrogen but mineral N content is lower than in profiles 1, 2 and 3 (C:N values fluctuate in the interval 8.6-20.2 with average value 11.54 mg/kg, Fig. 8). The low variation of C:N values by depth reveals the ancient age of humic substances and their stability in diverse soil environments. On the other hand, the older organic colloids have low reactivity (MCBRIDE et al., 1997; BRADL, 2004; COUTRIS et al., 2012) and high resistance to biodegradation and therefore play a minor role in CEC.

The results obtained confirm the fundamental finding that transformation processes of biogenic products in soils are much more intense than those of the mineral components. The presented study shows that biogenic transformation in the modern urban environment is a multifactorial process, dependent on all environmental components.

The elevated NH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub> contents in profiles 1, 2 and 3 can be related to human induced urea saturation of soils (they are also used for strolling pets) which may entail a higher rate of ammonification and amplify the nitrogen cycling. In areas where organic matter is more abundant in nitrogen (profile 4, 5 and 6) the main additional source of N is greenhouse gas emissions (or their precursors - NOx, CO and NMVOCs) which may also affect the cycle of nitrogen transformations. All profiles are located in close proximity to bustling traffic arteries and simultaneously in the direction of prevailing winds (from the north and northeast) which distribute the contamination from the industrial zone known with its strong negative impact on the environment (UZUNOV et al., 1996; FAITONDJIEV et al., 2000; DIMITROVA et al., 2010). The higher temperature of topsoils of profiles 4, 5 and 6 (up to 3-4 °C) supports the assumption for differentiation of mineral nitrogen fluxes during the anthropogenic impact, although the significant correlation between organic nitrogen and carbon (fig 9) shows that humus is the major source of nitrogen. This is also among reasons for alkaline pH values in profiles 1, 2 and 3 regardless of photochemical smog and nitrous oxide (dinitrogen monoxide, N<sub>2</sub>O) acidifying effect.

In urban environments carbon and nitrogen cycles are still coupled (Fig. 9), although the plant diversity in studied ecosystems does not imply substantial nitrogen revenues.

Some more important statistic data regarding the organic carbon abundance can be noted: the established average content of organic carbon in the surface layer of studied urban soils, 19.3 g/kg is almost 2-fold lower than the content of organic carbon in virgin leached Smolnitsas of Bulgaria - 35 g/kg (FILCHEVA, 2007). This content is equivalent to the average content (19.1 g/kg) in the surface horizon of grasslands in Bulgaria (TÓTH *et al.*, 2013) but higher than the content in topsoil of grasslands in the Sofia valley - 12.5 g/kg (LUCAS 2015).

Comparing the results for the mean value of CEC in topsoil of Bulgarian grasslands, extracted for LUCAS (2015) - 36,7 cmol/kg shows a close average value only for moderately leached Cinnamon forest soils (profile 3) and Alluvial-meadow soils (profile 5). The lower content of clay fraction and smectite-vermiculite as well, can explain these lowest CEC values in normally supplied with organic carbon Alluvial soils (profile 4).







Fig. 8. Mineral nitrogen content and C:N ratios in urban soils.



**Fig. 9.** Correlations between total N and C content in root layers of urban soils, and mineral N and pH (average values).

Table 1. Chemical data on studied	urban soils in the city of Sofia.
-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Horizons		т	т	т	exch.	exch.	exch.	exch.	V	Total	Humic	Fulvic	Aggr	Easily mobile	C <sub>HA</sub> /	Е /Е	Ca	Ma	
and depths	pН	1 8,2	$T_{CA}$	IA	$H_{8,2}$	Al	Ca	Mg	(%)	С		acids		mobile HA+FA	$C_{\text{FA}}$	$E_4 / E_6$	Ca	Mg	
(cm)	cmol/kg								(%)							AR extracts			
	Overlapped moderately leached Smolnitsa (profile 1)																		
Ahk 0-15	7.10	40.40	34.20	6.20	5.00	0.00	32.50	3.10	88.10	3.20	0.56	0.27	0.08	0.33	2.04	3.87	295.0	700.0	
C1k 15-65	7.30	41.20	37.90	4.40	3.00	0.00	34.80	3.20	92.30	1.05	0.21	0.08	0.05	0.07	2.63	3.65	1990.0	800.0	
A1b 65-110	7.00	41.10	34.50	6.60	6.00	0.00	32.10	3.40	86.40	1.66	0.40	0.20	0.04	0.16	2.00	3.56	1445.0	675.0	
Average	7.13	40.90	35.53	5.73	4.67	0.00	33.13	3.23	88.93	1.97	0.39	0.18	0.06	0.19	2.22	3.69	1243.33	725.00	
Technogenic soil, moderately deep (profile 2)																			
Ahk 0 <b>-2</b> 1	7.25	33.40	28.40	5.00	4.60	0.00	26.00	2.80	86.20	1.50	0.26	0.08	0.04	0.11	3.25	3.50	2700.0	900.0	
C1k 21-52	7.45	31.80	-	-	2.10	0.00	26.50	3.20	93.30	0.23	0.00	0.07	0.02	0.04	-	-	2510.0	900.0	
C2k 52-85	7.50	32.70	-	-	2.00	0.00	27.50	3.20	93.90	0.18	0.00	0.06	0.02	0.03	-	-	1935.0	880.0	
Average	7.40	32.63	28.40	5.00	2.90	0.00	26.67	3.07	91.13	0.64	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.06	1.08	1.17	2381.67	893.33	
	Moderately leached Cinnamon forest soil (profile 3)																		
Ah 0-10	5.85	35.80	28.80	7.00	6.50	0.30	24.50	4.80	81.80	1.66	0.28	0.24	0.04	0.30	1.17	4.08	270.0	575.0	
Bt 10-35	6.05	40.00	33.00	7.00	6.30	0.00	29.50	4.60	85.25	1.02	0.22	0.15	0.04	0.14	1.47	4.12	210.0	590.0	
Bt2 35-72	7.10	38.00	33.00	5.00	4.00	0.00	29.00	4.60	88.40	0.65	0.18	0.00	0.02	0.08	-	3.64	295.0	600.0	
Вtк 72-86	7.30	38.00	35.20	2.80	2.00	0.00	31.80	4.30	95.00	0.48	0.17	0.00	0.02	0.04	-	3.96	835.0	450.0	
Ck 86-120	8.00	37.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	33.10	4.40	100.00	0.37	0.12	0.00	0.02	0.04	-	4.28	5010.0	525.0	
Average	6.86	37.86	26.00	4.36	3.76	0.06	29.58	4.54	90.09	0.84	0.19	0.08	0.03	0.12	0.53	4.02	1324.00	548.00	

Horizon and depths (m)     Tag     Tag     Tag     Pag																			
ard depths   pH   IIIS2   NI   Cd   Mg   (N)   C   action   action   IIIN   CFA   FA   EA   C   AR extracts     Ah 0-15   6.30 21.80 16.50 5.30   4.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   81.65   1.57   0.21   0.20   0.04   0.24   1.05   4.06   470.0   552.5     C1 15.40   6.30 21.80 17.60 4.20   3.00   0.00 15.80   2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.15   0.01   0.09   -   -   285.0   585.0     C2 40-80   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.40   3.00   0.00 15.73   2.83   85.72   0.76   0.07   0.15   0.02   0.14   0.35   1.35   410.05 77.5     Abe 0-30   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80   5.90   0.00 27.50   3.20   83.82   1.52   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.11   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     C1 55-105   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00   5.60   0.00 28.00 3.10   84.74   0.68   0.09   0.01   1.29   3.27   740.0   670.0															Easily	~ /			
ard depths   pH   IIIS2   NI   Cd   Mg   (N)   C   action   action   IIIN   CFA   FA   EA   C   AR extracts     Ah 0-15   6.30 21.80 16.50 5.30   4.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   81.65   1.57   0.21   0.20   0.04   0.24   1.05   4.06   470.0   552.5     C1 15.40   6.30 21.80 17.60 4.20   3.00   0.00 15.80   2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.15   0.01   0.09   -   -   285.0   585.0     C2 40-80   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.40   3.00   0.00 15.73   2.83   85.72   0.76   0.07   0.15   0.02   0.14   0.35   1.35   410.05 77.5     Abe 0-30   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80   5.90   0.00 27.50   3.20   83.82   1.52   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.11   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     C1 55-105   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00   5.60   0.00 28.00 3.10   84.74   0.68   0.09   0.01   1.29   3.27   740.0   670.0			T <sub>8.2</sub>	$T_{CA}$	T <sub>A</sub>					V	Total	Humic	Fulvic	Aggress	mobile	$C_{HA}/$	$E_4/E_6$	Ca	Mg
And 0 + 15   6.30 21.80 16.50 5.30   4.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   8.16   1.57   0.21   0.20   0.00   0.00   0.20   5.25     Ah   6.30 21.80 17.00 4.20   3.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   8.165   0.43   0.00   0.00   0.00   5.00   0.10   0.00   0.00   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.00   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.01		pН				H <sub>8,2</sub>	Al	Ca	Mg	(%)	C	acids	acids	FA	$IIA^+$	$C_{FA}$	-, .		0
Ah 0.15   6.30 21.80 16.50 5.30   4.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   81.65   1.57   0.21   0.20   0.01   0.00   1.50   2.80   81.65   1.57   0.21   0.01   0.01   0.01   52.5     Ah 0.15   6.30 21.80 17.60 4.20   3.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   85.78   0.21   0.20   0.01   0.01   0.01   52.5     Average   6.30 21.40 17.70   3.00   0.00 16.40   2.80   85.78   0.21   0.01	-														ГA				٨D
Ah 0.1   6.02 1.0.0 1.0.0 2.0   8.0.0   9.0.0	(cm)	cmol/kg									(	%)							
Ah   6.30 21.80 16.50 5.30   4.00   0.00 15.00   2.80   81.65   1.57   0.21   0.20   0.04   0.24   1.05   4.06   47.00   552.5     C1   5.0 21.80 17.60 4.20   3.00   0.00 15.80   2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.01   0.01   0.09   -   -   285.0   585.0     C2   6.70 21.40 17.70 3.70   2.00   0.00 16.40   2.80   89.72   0.76   0.01   0.01   0.08   -   -   475.0   577.5     Aberage   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.40   3.00   0.00 15.73   2.83   85.72   0.76   0.07   0.15   0.02   0.14   0.35   1.35   410.0571.67     Ab   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80   5.90   0.00 27.50   3.40   85.80   1.15   0.17   0.16   0.03   0.14   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     Al   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.00   84.80   1.15   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.11   1.29   3.27   740.0   670.0								1			الم الم		- <del>(</del> :1 - 4)					CAL	iacis
0-15   6.30 21.80 16.50 5.30 4.00   0.00 15.00 2.80   81.65   1.57   0.21   0.20   0.04   0.24   1.05   4.06   4.00   522.5     C1   6.50 21.80 17.60 4.20   3.00   0.00 15.80   2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.01   0.00   0.00   15.0   2.80   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.01   0.00   0.00   15.0   2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.01   0.00   0.00   15.0   2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.01   0.00   0.00   15.0   2.90   85.70   0.07   0.10   0.01   0.00   0.00   5.77.5     Average   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.40   3.00   0.00 17.3   2.83   85.72   0.76   0.07   0.15   0.02   0.14   0.35   1.35   41.00   5.77.5     Average   6.10 36.60 29.80   6.80   5.90   0.00 28.70   3.40   85.80   1.15   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.14   1.42   4.78   26.00   580.0     C1   6.00 36.70 29.70   7.00	. 1																		
15-40 C2 40-80   6.50 21.80 17.60 4.20 4.20 4.20 4.20   3.00   0.00 16.80 2.90   85.78   0.43   0.00   0.15   0.01   0.09   -   -   285.0   585.0     Average   6.70 21.40 17.70 3.70   2.00   0.00 16.40   2.80   89.72   0.70   0.00   0.01   0.01   0.01   0.08   -   -   475.0   577.5     Average   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.40   3.00   0.00 15.73   2.83   85.72   0.76   0.07   0.15   0.02   0.14   0.35   1.35   410.00 571.67     Ah   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80   5.90   0.00 27.50   3.20   83.88   1.55   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.14   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     A1   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.10   84.74   0.68   0.09   0.07   0.02   0.11   1.29   3.27   74.00   675.0     C1   5.00 35.00 27.60 7.40   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.00   84.82   0.93   0.11   0.02   0.11   1.29   3.27   740.0	0-15	6.302	21.80	16.50	5.30	4.00	0.00	15.00	2.80	81.65	1.57	0.21	0.20	0.04	0.24	1.05	4.06	470.0	552.5
40-80   6.70 21.40 17.70 3.70 2.00 0.00 16.40 2.80 89.72 0.27 0.00 0.10 0.01 0.01 0.08 - 475.0 577.5     Average   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.40 3.00 0.00 15.73 2.83 85.72 0.76 0.07 0.15 0.02 0.14 0.35 1.35 410.00 571.67     Alt   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80 5.90 0.00 27.50 3.20 83.88 1.52 0.19 0.16 0.03 0.20 1.18 5.80 270.0 570.0     Alt   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60 5.60 0.00 28.70 3.40 85.80 1.15 0.17 0.12 0.03 0.14 1.42 4.78 260.0 580.0     C1   55.105     C2   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00 5.60 0.00 28.00 3.10 84.74 0.68 0.09 0.07 0.02 0.11 1.29 3.27 74.0.0 675.0     C2   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00 5.60 0.00 28.00 3.00 82.86 0.35 0.00 0.14 0.00 0.10 550.0 750.0     C2   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40 5.80 0.00 28.00 3.00 82.86 0.35 0.00 0.14 0.00 0.10 550.0 750.0     Average   6.30 36.43 29.48 6.95 5.73 0.00 27.55 3.18 84.32 0.93 0.11 0.12 0.00 0.14 0.97 3.46 45500 643.75     Average   6.70 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40 0.00 38.00 4.80 92.70 1.5 0.32 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.4 42.00 595.0     A'   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.4 42.00 595.0     A''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.4 42.00 595.0     A''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.4 42.00 595.0     A''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.4 42.00 595.0     A'''   6	-	6.502	21.80	17.60	4.20	3.00	0.00	15.80	2.90	85.78	0.43	0.00	0.15	0.01	0.09	-	-	285.0	585.0
Average   6.50 21.67 17.27 4.00   3.00   0.00 15.73   2.83   85.72   0.76   0.17   0.12   0.14   0.35   1.35   41.00 571.67     Ah 0.30   6.10 36.00 29.80 6.80   5.90   0.00 27.50   3.20   83.88   1.52   0.19   0.16   0.01   0.10   1.18   5.80   27.0   57.00     Ah 0.30   6.00 37.40 03.08 6.60   5.60   0.00 28.70   3.40   85.80   1.15   0.17   0.12   0.10   0.14   1.42   4.78   26.01   58.00     C1   6.00 37.40 03.08 6.60   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.00   84.80   0.16   0.10   0.10   1.42   4.78   26.01   58.00     C1   6.00 36.00 27.60 7.00   5.80   0.00 28.00   3.00   84.80   0.00   0.10   0.10   0.10   1.20   32.0   74.0   67.00     C2   6.00 36.00 27.60 7.00   5.80   0.00 26.00   3.00   84.80   0.00   0.10   0.10   0.10   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00   1.00 <td< td=""><td>-</td><td>6.702</td><td>21.40</td><td>17.70</td><td>3.70</td><td>2.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>16.40</td><td>2.80</td><td>89.72</td><td>0.27</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.10</td><td>0.01</td><td>0.08</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>475.0</td><td>577.5</td></td<>	-	6.702	21.40	17.70	3.70	2.00	0.00	16.40	2.80	89.72	0.27	0.00	0.10	0.01	0.08	-	-	475.0	577.5
Ah   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80   5.90   0.00 27.50   3.20   83.88   1.52   0.19   0.16   0.03   0.20   1.18   5.80   270.0   570.0     Ah   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60   5.60   0.00 28.70   3.40   85.80   1.15   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.14   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     C1   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60   5.60   0.00 28.70   3.40   85.80   1.15   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.14   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     C1   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.10   84.74   0.68   0.09   0.07   0.02   0.11   1.42   4.78   260.0   570.0     C2   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40   5.80   0.00 26.00   3.00   84.82   0.93   0.11   0.00   0.10   -   -   550.0   750.0     C2   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40   5.80   0.00 27.55   3.18   84.32   0.93   0.14   0.00   0.10   -   -   550.0   750.0   750.0 <tr< td=""><td></td><td>6 50 2</td><td>167</td><td>1777</td><td>1 10</td><td>2 00</td><td>0.00</td><td>15 72</td><td>202</td><td>05 <b>7</b>7</td><td>0.76</td><td>0.07</td><td>015</td><td>0.02</td><td>014</td><td>0 25</td><td>1 25</td><td>110 00</td><td>571 67</td></tr<>		6 50 2	167	1777	1 10	2 00	0.00	15 72	202	05 <b>7</b> 7	0.76	0.07	015	0.02	014	0 25	1 25	110 00	571 67
Ah   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80 5.90   0.00 27.50 3.20   83.88   1.52   0.19   0.16   0.03   0.20   1.18   5.80   270.0   570.0     A1   30.55   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60   5.60   0.00 28.70   3.40   85.80   1.15   0.17   0.12   0.03   0.14   1.42   4.78   260.0   580.0     C1   55-105   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.10   84.74   0.68   0.09   0.07   0.02   0.11   1.29   3.27   740.0   675.0     C2   0.00 36.70 29.70 7.00   5.60   0.00 28.00   3.10   84.74   0.68   0.09   0.07   0.02   0.11   1.29   3.27   740.0   675.0     C2   0.00 35.00 27.60 7.40   5.80   0.00 27.55   3.18   84.32   0.93   0.11   0.12   0.02   0.11   1.29   3.27   740.0   675.0   750.0     C2   0.03 36.43 29.48 6.95   5.73   0.00 27.55   3.18   84.32   0.93   0.11   0.12   0.02   0.14   0.97   3.4<	Average	0.30 2	21.07	17.27	4.40	3.00								0.02	0.14	0.55	1.55	410.00	571.07
0-30   6.10 36.60 29.80 6.80 5.90 0.00 27.50 3.20 83.88 1.52 0.19 0.16 0.03 0.20 1.18 5.80 270.0 570.0     A1   30-55   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60 5.60 0.00 28.70 3.40 85.80 1.15 0.17 0.12 0.03 0.14 1.42 4.78 260.0 580.0     C1   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00 5.60 0.00 28.00 3.10 84.74 0.68 0.09 0.07 0.02 0.11 1.29 3.27 740.0 675.0     C2   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40 5.80 0.00 26.00 3.00 82.86 0.35 0.00 0.14 0.00 0.10 550.0 750.0     Average   6.03 36.43 29.48 6.95 5.73 0.00 27.55 3.18 84.32 0.93 0.11 0.12 0.02 0.10 0.10 550.0 750.0     Average   6.03 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40 0.00 38.00 4.80 92.70 2.15 0.32 0.23 0.04 0.21 1.39 3.63 560.0 607.5     A'   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0     A''   6.75 45.60 43.50 2.10 1.70 0.00 34.60 9.30 96.30 0.87 0.15 0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0							I	Alluvia	al mea	adow so	oil, dee	ep (pro	file 5)						
30-55   6.00 37.40 30.80 6.60 5.60 0.00 28.70 3.40 85.80 1.15 0.17 0.12 0.03 0.14 1.42 4.78 26.0 580.0     C1   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00 5.60 0.00 28.00 3.10 84.74 0.68 0.09 0.07 0.02 0.11 1.29 3.27 740.0 675.0     C2   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40 5.80 0.00 26.00 3.00 82.86 0.35 0.00 0.14 0.00 0.10 - 550.0 750.0     Average   6.03 36.43 29.48 6.95 5.73 0.00 27.55 3.18 84.32 0.93 0.11 0.12 0.02 0.14 0.97 3.46 455.00 643.75     Strongly leached Strongly le		6.103	36.60	29.80	6.80	5.90	0.00	27.50	3.20	83.88	1.52	0.19	0.16	0.03	0.20	1.18	5.80	270.0	570.0
55-105   6.00 36.70 29.70 7.00 5.60 0.00 28.00 3.10 84.74 0.68 0.09 0.07 0.02 0.11 1.29 3.27 740.0 675.0     C2   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40 5.80 0.00 26.00 3.00 82.86 0.35 0.00 0.14 0.00 0.10 - 550.0 750.0     Average   6.03 36.43 29.48 6.95 5.73 0.00 27.55 3.18 84.32 0.93 0.11 0.12 0.02 0.14 0.97 3.46 455.00 643.75     Strongly leached Smolarity leached Smo		6.003	37.40	30.80	6.60	5.60	0.00	28.70	3.40	85.80	1.15	0.17	0.12	0.03	0.14	1.42	4.78	260.0	580.0
105-155   6.00 35.00 27.60 7.40 5.80 0.00 26.00 3.00 82.86 0.35 0.00 0.14 0.00 0.10 -   -   -   550.0 750.0     Average   6.03 36.43 29.48 6.95 5.73 0.00 27.55 3.18 0.00 27.55 3.18 0.00 0.11 0.12 0.02 0.14 0.97 3.46 455.00 643.75   Strongly leached Smolnitsa, super deep (profile 6)   0.02 0.14 0.97 3.46 455.00 643.75     A'   6.70 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40 0.00 38.00 4.80 92.70 3.40 0.02 38.00 4.80 92.70 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.4 420.0 595.0   0.03 56.0 0.13 1.32 3.4 420.0 595.0     A''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0   0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0		6.003	36.70	29.70	7.00	5.60	0.00	28.00	3.10	84.74	0.68	0.09	0.07	0.02	0.11	1.29	3.27	740.0	675.0
Average   6.03 36.43 29.48 6.95 5.73   0.00 27.55 3.18   84.32   0.93   0.11   0.12   0.02   0.14   0.97   3.46   455.00 643.75     A'   0.35   6.70 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40   0.00 38.00 4.80   92.70   2.15   0.32   0.23   0.04   0.21   1.39   3.63   560.0   607.5     A''   0.355 90   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10   3.30   0.00 37.60 4.90   92.40   1.52   0.25   0.19   0.03   0.13   1.32   3.34   420.0   595.0     A'''   0.75 45.60 43.50 2.10   1.70   0.00 34.60 9.30   96.30   0.87   0.15   0.07   0.02   0.10   2.14   3.35   480.0   565.0		6.003	35.00	27.60	7.40	5.80	0.00	26.00	3.00	82.86	0.35	0.00	0.14	0.00	0.10	-	-	550.0	750.0
A'   6.70 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40 0.00 38.00 4.80 92.70   2.15 0.32   0.23 0.23 0.04 0.21 1.39 3.63 560.0 607.5     A''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0     A'''   6.75 45.60 43.50 2.10 1.70 0.00 34.60 9.30 96.30 0.87 0.15 0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0		6.03 3	36.43	2948	6 95	5 73	0 00	27.55	318	84.32	0.93	0.11	0.12	0.02	0.14	0.97	3.46	455.00	643.75
A'   6.70 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40 0.00 38.00 4.80 92.70 2.15 0.32 0.23 0.04 0.21 1.39 3.63 560.0 607.5     A''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0     A'''   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0     A'''   6.75 45.60 43.50 2.10 1.70 0.00 34.60 9.30 96.30 0.87 0.15 0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0	meruge	0.00 0	0.10	20.10	0.50										0.11	0.07	0.10	100.00	010170
0-35   6.70 46.20 42.00 4.20 3.40 0.00 38.00 4.80 92.70 2.15 0.32 0.23 0.04 0.21 1.39 3.63 560.0 607.5     A"   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0     A"   6.75 45.60 43.50 2.10 1.70 0.00 34.60 9.30 96.30 0.87 0.15 0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0						5	trong	ly leac	hed S	molnits	sa, sup	er deej	p (profi	le 6)					
35-90   6.70 46.00 41.90 4.10 3.30 0.00 37.60 4.90 92.40 1.52 0.25 0.19 0.03 0.13 1.32 3.34 420.0 595.0     A'''   6.75 45.60 43.50 2.10 1.70 0.00 34.60 9.30 96.30 0.87 0.15 0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0     90-165   90-165		6.704	46.20	42.00	4.20	3.40	0.00	38.00	4.80	92.70	2.15	0.32	0.23	0.04	0.21	1.39	3.63	560.0	607.5
90-165 6.75 45.60 43.50 2.10 1.70 0.00 34.60 9.30 96.30 0.87 0.15 0.07 0.02 0.10 2.14 3.35 480.0 565.0		6.704	<b>16.00</b>	41.90	4.10	3.30	0.00	37.60	4.90	92.40	1.52	0.25	0.19	0.03	0.13	1.32	3.34	420.0	595.0
		6.754	45.60	43.50	2.10	1.70	0.00	34.60	9.30	96.30	0.87	0.15	0.07	0.02	0.10	2.14	3.35	480.0	565.0
	Average	6.72 4	45.93	42.47	3.47	2.80	0.00	36.73	6.33	93.80	1.51	0.24	0.16	0.03	0.15	1.62	3.44	486.67	589.17

#### Conclusions

Main alteration of pedo-chemical characteristics of studied urban soils are positive result and negative of transformation of their matrix. Positive changes are mostly related with organic matrix and intensive processes of humusformation and accumulation. Main factors that favour accumulation of organic carbon in studied soils are high content of silt and clay fractions which are typically humus fractions since they contain high amount of humus and humino-mineral complexes; organic clays, smolnitsas, comprising in the parent materials of some soils (because they are rich in organic carbon), as well as the stability of humus acids and their low mobility. Stability of humic acids is related with their dense heterocyclic structure and high nitrogen enrichment. Recently formed

humus is also well humificated and rich in highly condensed humic acids. For this reason, organic colloids are predominantly mature, persistent and slightly active. Simultaneously, in acidic soil horizons even a slight increase of FA content enhances the pH dependence on their content.

Positive changes of the mineral matrix are derived from mineral colloids and slow transformation of biotite into vermiculite – this process may reduce the soil hydrolytic acidity. Mineral colloids predominantly determine the sorption capacity and acidic complexes in studied soils. Negative changes of the mineral matrix are provoked from:

Acid destruction of clay minerals occurring as a consequence of the naturally occurring soil-forming and weathering processes of low intensity and associated processes of slight dispersion and disintegration of the mineral matrix;

Increase of exchangeable hydrogen content above the exchangeable magnesium levels up to the second abundant exchange ion after calcium although the Hdestabilizing role itself is difficult to distinguish.

Anthrogenically induced changes, where they can be identified, can increase mineral N content and fluxes and may influence the existing acid-base status of soils due to the input of neutral, alkaline (urea, ammonia) or acidifying agents (water soluble compounds of  $CO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ ) present in the ground troposphere of the Sofia city.

# References

- Abdelrahman H., C. Cocozza, А. CASTRIGNANÒ A, T. MIANO. 2018. Spatial variability of humic acids in agricultural soils and Implications on and carbon soil management sequestration. In: Filcheva, E. et al. (Eds) Book of abstracts of the 19-th International Conference of IHSS on "Humic substances and their contribution to the climate change mitigation", Albena resort, Bulgaria, 16-21 September 2018, pp. 61-62.
- ACHKOV N., B. SPIROV, A. LEVENSON. 1972. Soil and agrochemical investigation of lands in the Gorublyane village and maps of the studied region in M 1: 25 000. ISSA "N. Pushkarov", Sofia, Bulgaria.
- ATANASOV I., T. RAICHEV, S. RUSEVA. 2009. A glossary of scientific terms in Soil Science. "PublishSciSet - Eco", Sofia, Bulgaria, 188 p.
- BŁONSKA E., J. LASOTA. 2017. Soil Organic Matter Accumulation and Carbon Fractions along a Moisture Gradient of Forest Soils. - *Forests*, 8(448): 1-13. [DOI]
- BOJINOVA-HAAPANEN A. 2014. [Engineering geological characterization of the clay from the Sofia valley in view of the construction of geotechnical facilities].

MGU, Sofia, Bulgaria. Available at: [www.mgu.bg] (In Bulgarian).

- BRADL B.H. 2004. Adsorption of heavy metal ions on soils and soils constituents. - *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 277: 1-18. [DOI]
- BREMNER J., D. KEENEY. 1965. Steam distillation method for determination of ammonium, nitrate and nitrite. *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 32: 485-495. [DOI]
- BRITTO D., H. KRONZUCKER. 2002. NH4+ toxicity in higher plants: a critical review. - *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 159: 567–584. [DOI]
- BDS ISO 11261, 2002. Soil quality -Determination of total nitrogen -Modified Kjeldahl method.
- BDS ISO 11464, 2012. Soil Quality -Pretreatment of Samples for Physicochemical Analysis.
- CHENU C., C. RUMPEL, J. LEHMANN. 2015. Methods for Studying Soil Organic Matter. - In: Soil Microbiology, Ecology and Biochemistry, pp. 383–419. [DOI]
- Corangamite Region "Brown Book". Available at: [ccmaknowledgebase.vic.gov.au ]
- COUTRIS C., J. JONER, D. OUGHTON, D. HELEN. 2012. Aging and soil organic matter content affect the fate of silver nanoparticles in soil. - *Science of The Total Environment*, 420: 327-333. [DOI]
- DELGADO-BAQUERIZO M. *et al.* 2013. Decoupling of soil nutrient cycles as a function of aridity in global drylands. - *Nature*, 502(7473): 672–676.]DOI]
- DIMITROVA A., M. SIDJIMOV, V. METODIEV, A. SPASOV. 2010. Assessment of Heavy Metal Contamination in Soils Around Kremikovtsi Smelter (Bulgaria). - In: NATO Science for Peace and Security Series C: Environmental Security, pp. 245–255. [DOI]
- DINEV N. 2011. [Ecological monitoring and remediation strategies for soils contaminated with heavy metals]. DSci. Thesis, ISSAPP "N. Pushkarov", Sofia, Bulgaria. Available at: [s2b.mon.bg] (In Bulgarian).

Pedo-chemical perturbations in soils from green ecosystems of the Sofia city (Bulgaria)

- DOICHINOVA V. 2006. [Heavy metals in soils under urban oak ecosystems from the Sofia region]. Dissertation, Institute of Forestry, BAS, Sofia, p. 288. (In Bulgarian)
- DOICHINOVA V., M. ZHIYANSKI. 2013. [Studies on Characteristics of Soils in Urban Forest Parks and in Natural Forest Plantations in the Region of Town Sandanski]. Soil science, agrochemistry and ecology, vol. XLVII, № 2, pp. 68-75. (In Bulgarian).
- FAITONDJIEV L., L. STANISLALOVA, H. TCHULDJIAN, S. GUPTA, R. SCHULIN. 2000. Toxic elements in soils of the region of Kremikovtzi. Soil Sci., Agrochem. And Ecol., 35(5), 3–9.
- FILCHEVA E. 2007. Characterization of Bulgarian soils according to the content, composition and stocks of organic matter. Grouping of soils in Bulgaria. Sofia, Bulgaria.
- FILCHEVA E. 2018. Organic matter content and quality of Bulgarian soils. In: Filcheva E et al. (Eds) Book of abstracts of the 19-th International Conference of IHSS on "Humic substances and their contribution to the climate change mitigation", Albena resort, Bulgaria, 16-21 September 2018, pp. 99-100.
- FILCHEVA E, C. TSADILAS. 2002. Influence of Clinoptilolite and Compost on Soil Properties. Communications of Soil Science and Plant Analysis, 33, 3-4, pp. 595-607. [DOI]
- FINZI A., A. AUSTIN, E. CLELAND, S. FREY, B. HOULTON, M. WALLENSTEIN. 2011. Responses and feedbacks of coupled biogeochemical cycles to climate change: examples from terrestrial ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 9(1), 61–67. [DOI]
- FROUZ J., O. VINDUŠKOVÁ. 2018. Soil Organic Matter Accumulation in Postmining Sites: Potential Drivers and Mechanisms. In Soil Management and Climate Change: Effects on Organic Carbon, Nitrogen Dynamics,

and Greenhouse Gas Emissions, 1st edition; Munoz, Maria, Zornoza, Raúl, Eds.; Academic Press, GBR, pp. 103-120. [DOI]

- GANEV S. 1990. Modern soil chemistry, 2nd edition; Science and Art, Sofia, Bulgaria, 371 p.
- GANEV S, A. ARSOVA. 1980. Method for determining the strongly acid and weakly acid cation exchange in soil. Soil Sci. and Agrochem., 15(3), 22–33.
- HENGLEIN A. 1993. Physicochemical properties of small metal particles in solution: "microelectrode" reactions, chemisorption, composite metal particles, and the atom-to-metal transition. J. Phys. Chem., 97 (21), pp. 5457–5471. [DOI]
- ISO 11466, 1995. Soil Quality Extraction of Trace Elements Soluble in Aqua Regia.
- ISO 11047, 1998. Soil Quality -Determination of Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni and Zn in Aqua Regia Extracts of Soils - Flame and Electrothermal Atomic Absorption Spectrometric Methods.
- ISO 10693, 1995. Soil quality -Determination of carbonate content -Volumetric method.
- JIAO F., X-R. SHI, F.-P. HAN, Z.-Y. YUAN. 2016. Increasing aridity, temperature and soil pH induce soil C-N-P imbalance in grasslands. Sci. Rep.6, article number 19601, [DOI]
- LEHMANN A, K. STAHR. 2007. Nature and significance of anthropogenic urban soils. J. Soils Sediments, 7, pp. 247. [DOI]
- LUCAS database. 2015. Land use and land cover survey. Available at: [ec.europa.eu]
- MCBRIDE M, S. SAUVE, W. HENDERSHOT. 1997. Solubility control of Cu, Zn, Cd and Pb in contaminated soils. European Journal of Soil Science, 48, 337-346. [DOI]
- NIKOVA I. 2009. *Physico-chemical properties and amelioration of acidic soils,* ISS "N. Pushkarov", Sofia, Bulgaria, 164 p.

- NIKOVA I., V. TSOLOVA. 2018. Morphological and chemical characteristics of arable Vertisol from the Sofia soil province (Bulgaria). International Research - *Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 5(5): 3395-3399.
- Ordinance № 4 of 12 January 2009 for soil monitoring. State Gazette, Bulgaria, issue 19, 13 March 2009. Available at: [government.bg] (In Bulgarian).
- PALAVEEV T, T. TOTEV. 1985. [Laboratory system for liming (LVVPT-64)]. - Soil Science, Agrochemistry and Plant Protection, 1: 56-66. (In Bulgarian).
- PARTON W., S. DEL GROSSO, A. PLANIE, E. ADAIR, S. LUTZ. 2015. Modeling the Dynamics of Soil Organic Matter and Nutrient Cycling. -In: Soil Microbiology, Ecology, and Biochemistry, chapter 17, pp. 505-537. [DOI]
- PIERSON D. 2017. Soil Organic Matter Priming. -In: Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences, pp. 1-4. [DOI]
- SARDANS J, A. RIVAS-UBACH, J. PEÑUELAS. 2012. The C:N:P stoichiometry of organisms and ecosystems in a changing world: A review and perspectives. - *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, 14(1): 33–47. [DOI]
- STRANSKI I. 1936. [*Black Soils of Sofia*]. The Annual of Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculty of Agronomy, vol. XIV. (In Bulgarian).
- STUMPF F., A. KELLER, K. SCHMIDT, A. MAYR, A. GUBLER, M. SCHAEPMAN. 2018. Spatio-temporal land use dynamics and soil organic carbon in Swiss agroecosystems. - Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment, 258: 129-142. [DOI]
- TAN Q., G. WANG. 2016. Decoupling of nutrient element cycles in soil and plants across an altitude gradient. *Scientific Reports*, 6: 34875. [DOI]
- THOMAS G. 1996. Soil pH and Soil Acidity. In: Sparks D.L., Page A.L., Helmke P. A., Loeppert R.H. (Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: Chemical Methods. SSSA Book Series 5.3, Soil Science Society of America Inc. and American Society of Agronomy Inc., Madison, USA, pp. 475-490.

- TÓTH G., A. JONES, L. MONTANARELLA. 2013. The LUCAS topsoil database and derived information on the regional variability of cropland topsoil properties in the European Union. - *Environental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185: 7409–7425. [DOI]
- TRENDAFILOV K. 1992. [Buffering capacity of soils in Bulgaria against harmful acidification]. Agrarian University, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, 238 p. (In Bulgarian).
- TSOLOVA V., P. TOMOV. 2018. Morphological and classification hallmarks of soils in green zones of Sofia city. - *Bulgarian Journal of Soil Science, Agrochemistry and Ecology*, 52(3): 43-56.
- TSOLOVA V., V. KOLCHAKOV, M. ZHIYANSKI. 2014. Carbon, nitrogen and sulphur pools and fluxes in pyrite containing reclaimed soils (Technosols) at Gabra village, Bulgaria. -*Environmental Processes*, 1: 405-414. [DOI]
- UZUNOV K., V. ZAHARIEV, V. KOLAROVA, V. DRAGOSIINOVA, J. UZUNOV. 1996. Heavy metals (Mn, Pb, Zn, Cu) in the soils and plants in the Sofia lowlands. - Geochemistry, Mineralogy and Petrology, 31: 103-123.
- YANEV S., R. DIMITROVA, D. CHUNEV, T. TSANKOV, D. TRONKOV, I. SAPUNOV, P. CHUMACHENKO, V. ANGELOV, I. RUSANOV, I. HAYDOUTOV, T. NIKOLOV, P. PETROV. 1992. Card sheet Sofia. In: *Geological map of Bulgaria*, M 1:100 000. Committee on Geology and Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics, Sofia, Bulgaria.
- YANEV S, D. CHUNEV, T. TSANKOV, D. TRONKOV, I. SAPUNOV, P. CHUMACHENKO, I. HAYDOUTOV, P. PETROV, T. NIKOLOV, R. DIMITROVA, R. MARINOVA, I. RUSANOV, Y. GERCHEVA. 1995. Explanatory note to a geological map of Bulgaria, Card sheet Sofia. - In: *Geological map of Bulgaria*, M 1:100 000. Committee on Geology and Mineral Resources, Geology and Geophysics, Sofia, Bulgaria, 133 p.
- YUAN Z., H. CHEN. 2015. Decoupling of nitrogen and phosphorus in terrestrial plants associated with global changes. -*Nature Climate Change*, 5: 465–469. [DOI]

Received: 13.05.2019 Accepted: 20.09.2019

© Ecologia Balkanica http://eb.bio.uni-plovdiv.bg Union of Scientists in Bulgaria – Plovdiv University of Plovdiv Publishing House